Pythia 8 for EIC

RBRC WORKSHOP: SMALL-X PHYSICS IN THE EIC ERA



December 16th, 2021

JYVÄSKYLÄN YLIOPISTO UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ





Outline

Outline

- 1. Introduction to PYTHIA 8
- 2. Deep inelastic scattering (DIS)
- 3. Photoproduction (PhP)
- 4. Diffractive dijets in PhP
- 5. Intermediate Q^2 region
- 6. Summary & Outlook



[[]figure by P. Skands]

Рүтніа event generator

(subset of) Physics covered in 8.3

- Different beam combinations:
 ee, γγ, ep, γp, pp, pA, AA, DM
- Hard scattering: native LO, NLO+PS with aMC@NLO and POWHEG-BOX
- Parton showers: Default, DIRE, VINCIA
- Multiparton interactions (MPIs): Interleaved with shower evolution
- Soft physics: Diffraction, Elastic, Hadronic (re-)scattering
- Hadronization: String fragmentation, Color reconnection, Ropes & shoving



[[]figure by P. Skands]

Lepton-proton collisions classified in terms photon virtuality Q²

Deep inelastic scattering (DIS)

- High virtuality, $Q^2 > a$ few GeV²
- Lepton scatters off from a parton by exchanging a highly virtual photon

Photoproduction

- Low virtuality, $Q^2 \lesssim 1~{
 m GeV}^2$
 - \Rightarrow Direct and resolved contributions
- Factorize γ flux, evolve γ p system
- Hard scale provided by the hadronic observable
- Also soft QCD processes, diffraction



Event generation in DIS with Рутнія 8

Hard scattering

• Convolution between PDFs and matrix element (ME) for partonic scattering

Parton shower

- Final state radiation (FSR)
- Initial state radiation (ISR) for hadron
- QED emissions from leptons (omitted)

Hadronization

- String hadronization with colour reconnections
- Decays to stable hadrons



DIS with Pythia

Alternative shower model dipoleRecoil

[B. Cabouat and T. Sjöstrand, EPJC 78 (2018 no.3, 226)]

- No PS recoil for the scattered lepton
- Reasonable description of single-particle properties, such as transverse energy flow
- Results based on tune with the default global-recoil shower

Completely new shower DIRE

- [S. Höche, S. Prestel, EPJC 75 (2015) no.9, 461]
- Correct soft-gluon interference at lowest order
- Inclusive NLO corrections to collinear splittings
- Good agreement with HERA data e.g. for thurst T



Event generation in photoproduction

Direct processes

- Photon initiator of the hard process (DIS-like)
- Convolute photon flux f_γ with proton PDFs $f_i^{\rm p}$ and ${\rm d}\hat{\sigma}$

 $\mathrm{d}\sigma^{b\mathrm{p}\to kl+X} = f^b_{\gamma}(x) \,\otimes\, f^{\mathrm{p}}_i(x_{\mathrm{p}},\mu^2) \,\otimes\, \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}^{\gamma i\to kl}$

• Generate FSR and ISR for proton side

Resolved processes

• Convolute also with photon PDFs

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{b}\mathrm{p}\to kl+X} = f^{\mathrm{b}}_{\gamma}(\mathrm{X}) \otimes f^{\gamma}_{j}(\mathrm{X}_{\gamma},\mu^{2}) \otimes f^{\mathrm{p}}_{i}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}},\mu^{2}) \otimes \mathrm{d}\sigma^{ij\to kl}$$

- Sample x and Q^2 , setup γp sub-system with $W_{\gamma p}$
- Evolve γp as any hadronic collision (including MPIs)



Comparison to HERA photoproduction data

ZEUS dijet measurement

- $Q^2 < 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$
- 134 $< W_{\gamma \mathrm{p}} <$ 277 GeV
- $E_{\rm T}^{\rm jet1}$ > 14 GeV, $E_{\rm T}^{\rm jet2}$ > 11 GeV
- $-1 < \eta^{\text{jet1,2}} < 2.4$

Two contributions

- Momentum fraction of partons in photon $x_{\gamma}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{E_{\text{T}}^{\text{jet1}}e^{\eta^{\text{jet1}}} + E_{\text{T}}^{\text{jet2}}e^{\eta^{\text{jet2}}}}{2yE_{\text{e}}} \approx x_{\gamma}$
- Sensitivity to process type
- At high- $x_{\gamma}^{\rm obs}$ direct processes dominate



Comparison to recent ZEUS data for charged hadrons

[ZEUS: 2106.12377 [hep-ex]]

Multiplicity distributions

- Multiplicity distributions sensitive to MPIs with resolved photons
- ZEUS data support for MPIs but with slightly larger p^{ref}_{T0} than in pp ⇒ less MPIs (Good description also for γp multiplicities in UPCs at LHC, see backup)

$p_{\rm T}$ spectra for $N_{\rm ch} > 20$

- Similar agremeent as above
- Useful constraints for MPIs in γp system
- Goog agreement also in $c_1{2}$



Hard diffraction in photoproduction

- Process with a hard scale, desribed with a colour-neutral Pomeron (IP) exchange
- Experimentally identified from rapidity gap

Factorization of the diffractive cross section

• Direct: Pomeron flux and diffractive PDFs (dPDFs)

 $\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{direct}}^{2j\mathrm{ets}} \!=\! f_{\gamma}^{b}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\gamma j \rightarrow 2j\mathrm{ets}} \otimes f_{j}^{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbb{P}}, \mu^{2}) \otimes f_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}}, t)$

• Resolved: photon PDFs

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{resolved}}^{2j\mathrm{ets}} = f_{\gamma}^{b}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes f_{i}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{X}_{\gamma}, \mu^{2}) \otimes \mathrm{d}\sigma^{ij \to 2j\mathrm{ets}} \otimes f_{j}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{P}}, \mu^{2}) \otimes f_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{P}}, \mathbf{t})$$



Hard diffraction in photoproduction

- Process with a hard scale, desribed with a colour-neutral Pomeron (IP) exchange
- Experimentally identified from rapidity gap

Dynamical rapidity gap survival model

1. Generate diffractive events with dPDFs (PDF)



Hard diffraction in photoproduction

- Process with a hard scale, desribed with a colour-neutral Pomeron (IP) exchange
- Experimentally identified from rapidity gap

Dynamical rapidity gap survival model

- 1. Generate diffractive events with dPDFs (PDF)
- 2. Reject events where MPIs in γp system (MPI)



Hard diffraction in photoproduction

- Process with a hard scale, desribed with a colour-neutral Pomeron (IP) exchange
- Experimentally identified from rapidity gap

Dynamical rapidity gap survival model

- 1. Generate diffractive events with dPDFs (PDF)
- 2. Reject events where MPIs in γp system (MPI)
- 3. Evolve γ IP system, allow MPIs



Hard diffraction in photoproduction

- Process with a hard scale, desribed with a colour-neutral Pomeron (IP) exchange
- Experimentally identified from rapidity gap

Dynamical rapidity gap survival model

- 1. Generate diffractive events with dPDFs (PDF)
- 2. Reject events where MPIs in γp system (MPI)
- 3. Evolve γ IP system, allow MPIs

Implemented from Pythia 8.235 onwards

[I.H. and C.O. Rasmussen, EPJC 79 (2019) no.5, 413] Same idea applied for pp collisions at the LHC [C.O. Rasmussen and T. Sjöstrand, JHEP 1602 (2016) 142]



Comparisons to HERA data



- PDF selection overshoots the data by 20–50 %
- Impact of the MPI rejection increases with W
- Stronger suppression in H1 analysis due to looser cuts on E_T^{jets} and $x_{\mathbb{P}} \Rightarrow$ More MPIs

Cuts	Η1	ZEUS
$Q_{\rm max}^2$ [GeV ²]	0.01	1.0
E ^{jet1} E _{T.min} [GeV]	5.0	7.5
E ^{jét2} T,min [GeV]	4.0	6.5
x ^{max}	0.03	0.025

PYTHIA setup

- dPDFs from H1 fit B LO
- + $\gamma {\rm PDFs}$ from CJKL
- p^{ref}_{T0} = 3.00 GeV/c (Tuned to inclusive charged particle data from γp at HERA)

Comparisons to HERA data



- Stronger suppression at low- $x_{\gamma}^{\rm obs}$ (more MPIs)
- ZEUS cuts select events at high- $x_{\gamma}^{
 m obs}$ region
- Some theoretical uncertainty from $\gamma {\rm PDFs},$ dPDFs and scale variation

Cuts	Η1	ZEUS
$Q_{\rm max}^2$ [GeV ²]	0.01	1.0
E ^{jet1} [GeV]	5.0	7.5
E ^{jét2} T,min [GeV]	4.0	6.5
$x_{\rm IP}^{\rm max}$	0.03	0.025

χ^2 analysis	PDF	MPI
H1	5.2	1.4
ZEUS	9.6	5.1
H1 & ZEUS	7.6	3.4
(with all data	points))

Predictions for EIC

Repeat the H1 analysis at EIC kinematics ($E_e = 18$ GeV, $E_p = 275$ GeV)



- $\cdot\,$ Only up to \sim 10% effects in the considered W range
- Noticeable suppression only at low x_γ where cross section small
- ⇒ Available energy and kinematical cuts for diffraction push the kinematics to region where only little room for MPIs ($E_{T}^{jet1} > 5.0 \text{ GeV}, E_{T}^{jet2} > 4.0 \text{ GeV}$)

Solid theory for $Q^2 = 0$ and at high Q^2

⇒ What happens in between?
Pythia 6 (inspired) model (≠ Pythia 8)

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma^* p} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} \exp\left[-\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p}}\right] + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p}$$
where

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \left[\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + m_\rho^2}\right]^2 \sigma_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} \\ \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \sigma_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} \left[\frac{m_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2 + Q^2}\right]^2 \left[\frac{W^2}{W^2 + Q^2}\right]^n \\ \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \sigma_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} (\hat{p}_{\text{T,min}} = max(Q, p_{\text{T,min}})) \\ p_{\text{T,min}} &= 1.3 \text{ GeV}, \ n = 3, \ m_\rho = 0.7755 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$$



Solid theory for $Q^2 = 0$ and at high Q^2

⇒ What happens in between?
Pythia 6 (inspired) model (≠ Pythia 8)

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma^* p} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} \exp\left[-\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p}}\right] + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p}$$
where

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \left[\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + m_\rho^2}\right]^2 \sigma_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} \\ \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \sigma_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} \left[\frac{m_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2 + Q^2}\right]^2 \left[\frac{W^2}{W^2 + Q^2}\right]^n \\ \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \sigma_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} (\hat{p}_{\text{T,min}} = max(Q, p_{\text{T,min}})) \\ p_{\text{T,min}} &= 1.3 \text{ GeV}, \ n = 3, \ m_\rho = 0.7755 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$$



Solid theory for $Q^2 = 0$ and at high Q^2

⇒ What happens in between?
Pythia 6 (inspired) model (≠ Pythia 8)

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma^* p} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} \exp\left[-\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p}}\right] + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p}$$
where

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \left[\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + m_\rho^2}\right]^2 \sigma_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} \\ \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \sigma_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} \left[\frac{m_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2 + Q^2}\right]^2 \left[\frac{W^2}{W^2 + Q^2}\right]^n \\ \cdot \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} &= \sigma_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} (\hat{p}_{\text{T,min}} = max(Q, p_{\text{T,min}})) \\ p_{\text{T,min}} &= 1.3 \text{ GeV}, \ n = 3, \ m_\rho = 0.7755 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$$



Summary & Outlook

Pythia 8.3 capabilities in ep

- DIS (high Q²) events with dipoleRecoil option and DIRE
- Photoproduction $(Q^2 = 0)$ including direct and resolved contributions
 - Also hard diffraction with dynamical rapidity gap survival

Outlook

- New data from HERA and UPCs at the LHC provide further constraints
- For a complete setup need also the region between DIS and PhP!



[[]figure by P. Skands]

Backup slides

Рутні Collaboration

- Christian Bierlich
- Nishita Desai
- Leif Gellersen
- Ilkka Helenius
- Philip Ilten
- Leif Lönnblad
- Stephen Mrenna
- Stefan Prestel
- Christian Preuss
- Torbiörn Siöstrand
- Peter Skands
- Marius Utheim
- Rob Verheven (University College London)

(Lund University) (TIFR. Mumbai) (Lund University) (University of Jvväskvlä) (University of Cincinnati) (Lund University) (Fermilab) (Lund University) (ETH Zurich) (Lund University) (Monash University) (University of Jyväskylä)



[Pythia meeting in Monash 2019]

Рутні Collaboration

- Christian Bierlich
- Nishita Desai
- Leif Gellersen
- Ilkka Helenius
- Philip Ilten
- Leif Lönnblad
- Stephen Mrenna
- Stefan Prestel
- Christian Preuss
- Torbiörn Siöstrand
- Peter Skands
- (University of Jyväskylä) Marius Utheim
- Rob Verheven (University College London)

(Lund University) (TIFR. Mumbai) (Lund University) (University of Jvväskvlä) (University of Cincinnati) (Lund University) (Fermilab) (Lund University) (ETH Zurich) (Lund University) (Monash University)



[Pythia meeting in Monash 2019]

- Spokesperson
- Codemaster
- Webmaster

https://pythia.org authors@pythia.org

CMS data for $\gamma \mathbf{p}$ in UPCs at the LHC

CMS Data





[J. A. Murillo Quijada, DIS2021]

Solid theory for $Q^2 = 0$ and at high Q^2 \Rightarrow What happens in between? Pythia 6 (inspired) model (\neq Pythia 8)

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma^* p} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} \exp\left[-\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p}}\right] + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p}$$
where

•
$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p} = \left[\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + m_\rho^2}\right]^2 \sigma_{\text{DIS}}^{\gamma^* p}$$

• $\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} = \sigma_{\text{Res}}^{\gamma^* p} \left[\frac{m_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2 + Q^2}\right]^2 \left[\frac{W^2}{W^2 + Q^2}\right]^n$
• $\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} = \sigma_{\text{Dir}}^{\gamma^* p} (\hat{p}_{\text{T,min}} = max(Q, p_{\text{T,min}}))$
 $p_{\text{T,min}} = 1.3 \text{ GeV}, n = 3, m_\rho = 0.7755 \text{ GeV}$



Unmodified DIS cross section diverges at $Q^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ GeV}^2$